Crust-core transitions in neutron stars revisited
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The structure of a Neutron Star

Core $\rho > 1.5 \times 10^{14}$ g/cm$^3$

Inner crust $\rho > 4 \times 10^{11}$ g/cm$^3$ Outer crust $\rho > 10^4$ g/cm$^3$
The inner crust. Introduction

- The structure of the inner crust consists of a Coulomb lattice of nuclear clusters permeated by the gases of free neutrons and free electrons.
- At the bottom layers of the inner crust the equilibrium nuclear shape may change from sphere, to cylinder, slab, tube (cylindrical hole) and bubble (spherical hole). These shapes are generically known as "nuclear pasta".
- Full quantal Hatree-Fock calculations of the nuclear structures in the inner crust are complicated by the treatment of the neutron gas and the eventual need to deal with different geometries.
- The formation and the properties of nuclear pasta have been investigated in three dimensional Hartree-Fock calculations in cubic boxes that avoid assumptions of the geometry of the system. However, these calculations are highly time consuming and a detailed EOS for the whole system is not yet available.
- Large scale calculations of the inner crust and nuclear pasta have been performed very often with semiclassical methods such as the Compressible Liquid Drop Model (CLDM) and the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation.
The Inner Crust, Self-consistent Thomas-Fermi approach

The total energy of an ensemble of neutrons, protons and electrons in a Wigner-Seitz cell of volume $V_c$ is given by:

$$ E = \int dV \left[ H(\rho_n, \rho_p) + E_{elec} + E_{coul} - \frac{3}{4} \left( \frac{3}{\pi} \right)^{1/3} e^2 \left( \rho_p^{4/3} + \rho_e^{4/3} \right) + m_n \rho_n + m_p \rho_p \right] $$

where the nuclear energy density in the TF approach reads:

$$ H(\rho_n, \rho_p) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_n} \frac{3}{5} \left( 3\pi^2 \right)^{2/3} \rho_n^{5/3}(r) + \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_p} \frac{3}{5} \left( 3\pi^2 \right)^{2/3} \rho_p^{5/3}(r) + V(\rho_n(r), \rho_p(r)) $$

The Coulomb energy density coming from the direct part of the proton-proton and electron-electron plus the proton-electron interaction is given by

$$ E_{coul} = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_p(r) - \rho_e) (V_p(r) - V_e(r)) = \frac{1}{2} (\rho_p(r) - \rho_e) \int \frac{e^2}{|r - r'|} (\rho_p(r') - \rho_e) \, dr' $$

assuming that the electrons are uniformly distributed in the cell.
The Inner Crust, Variational equations

We perform a fully variational calculation of the energy in the WS cell under the constraints of given average density $\rho_B$ in the WS cell of size $R_c$ and charge neutrality in the cell. Taking functional derivatives respect to the neutron, proton and electron densities one finds

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\rho_n, \rho_p)}{\delta \rho_n} + m_n - \mu_n = 0,$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}(\rho_n, \rho_p)}{\delta \rho_p} + V_p(r) - V_e(r) - \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} e^2 \rho_p^{1/3}(r) + m_p - \mu_p = 0,$$

$$\sqrt{k_F^2 + m_e^2} - V_p(r) + V_e(r) - \left(\frac{3}{\pi}\right)^{1/3} e^2 \rho_e^{1/3} = \mu_e,$$

together with the $\beta$-equilibrium condition

$$\mu_e = m_n - m_p + \mu_n - \mu_p,$$

imposed by the the aforementioned constraints.
The Inner Crust, Energy per particle

The Inner Crust, Energy per particle

\[ \text{E/A - (E/A)_{npe}} \]
The Inner Crust, Energy per particle

- Tube (8.12712)
- Rod (8.12585)
- Slab (8.12566)
- Bubble (8.12775)
- Droplet (8.12660)

$n_b = 0.077 \text{ fm}^{-3}$
The Inner Crust, Size and Composition
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The Thomas-Fermi approximation

The Compressible Liquid Drop Model

Conclusions

Global properties of the crust

\[ x_p, R_c (\text{fm}) \]

\[ A, Z \]
The Inner Crust, Density Profiles

(a) A = 968.9, Z = 26.7
\[ n_b = 0.0475 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ x_p = 2.76\%, R_c = 16.950 \text{ fm} \]

(b) A = 844.7, Z = 24.8
\[ n_b = 0.065 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ x_p = 2.93\%, R_c = 14.585 \text{ fm} \]
The Inner Crust, Density Profiles

(a) 
\( A/L = 31.40 \text{ fm}^{-1}, \ Z/L = 0.984 \text{ fm}^{-1} \)

\( x_p = 3.13\%, \ R_c = 11.467 \text{ fm} \)

(b) 
\( A/2S = 0.772 \text{ fm}^{-2}, \ Z/2S = 0.0242 \text{ fm}^{-2} \)

\( x_p = 3.13\%, \ R_c = 10.155 \text{ fm} \)
The Inner Crust, Density Profiles

Tube \( n_b = 0.076 \text{ fm}^{-3} \)

\[ \frac{A}{L} = 47.18 \text{ fm}^{-1}, \quad \frac{Z}{L} = 1.477 \text{ fm}^{-1} \]

\( x_p = 3.13\%, \quad R_c = 14.057 \text{ fm} \)

Bubble \( n_b = 0.076 \text{ fm}^{-3} \)

\[ A = 1750.4, \quad Z = 54.7 \]

\( x_p = 3.13\%, \quad R_c = 17.650 \text{ fm} \)
The Compressible Liquid Drop Model (I)

CLDM was introduced by Baym, Bethe and Pethick, NPA 175 225 (1971). See also Douchin and Haensel A&A 380 151 (2001).

Inside the WS cell we impose charge neutrality $\chi \rho_p = \rho_e = V / V_c$ and fixed average density $\rho_B$. The surface tension $\sigma$ and neutron skin $s_n$ are taken form a self-consistent ETF calculation in semi-infinite nuclear matter Centelles et al NPA 635 193 (1998).
The Compressible Liquid Drop Model (II)

\[ E_{\text{cell}} = \frac{E}{V_c} = \chi E(n_n, n_p) + (1 - \chi) E(n_d, 0) + \frac{3\chi \sigma_{\text{surf}}}{R_p} + n_{\text{surf}} \mu_s \]

\[ + \frac{3}{4} \left(3\pi^2\right)^{1/3} \chi^{4/3} n_p^{4/3} + \frac{4\pi}{5} e^2 R_p n_p^2 \chi \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \chi^{1/3} + \frac{1}{2} \chi\right) \]

\[ E_{\text{surf}} = A\sigma_{\text{surf}} + N_{\text{surf}} \mu_s, \]

where \( A \) is the area of the reference surface, \( \sigma_{\text{surf}} \) the surface tension, and \( N_{\text{surf}} \) and \( \mu_s \) are the number and the chemical potential of the neutrons adsorbed onto the reference surface.

\[ 4\pi R_p^2 \sigma_{\text{surf}} = 4\pi R_p^2 \left[ \sigma_s + \frac{2}{R_p} \sigma_c \right] \]

and

\[ N_{\text{surf}} = 4\pi R_p^2 \left[ s_n + \frac{2}{R_p} \frac{b_n^2 - b_p^2 + s_n^2}{2} \right] (n_n - n_d) \]

where \( s_n \) is the skin thickness and \( b_n \) and \( b_p \) the neutron and proton surface widths.
Performing variations respect to $n_n$, $n_p$, $n_d$, $n_{surf}$, $\chi$ and $R_p$ with the constraints of fix average density $n_B = \chi(n_n + n_p) + (1 - \chi)n_d + n_{surf}$ and charge neutrality $n_e = \chi n_p$ one obtains the equilibrium conditions

$$ \mu_n - \mu_p - \mu_e = \frac{8\pi}{5} e^2 R_p^2 n_p \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \chi^{1/3} + \frac{1}{2} \chi\right) $$

(1)

$$ P_i - P_o = \frac{2}{R_p} \left(\sigma_s + \frac{\sigma_c}{R_p}\right) - \frac{4\pi}{15} e^2 R_p^2 n_p^2 (1 - \chi) $$

(2)

$$ 4\pi R_p^2 \left(\sigma_s + \frac{4\sigma_c}{R_p}\right) = \frac{32\pi^2}{15} e^2 R_p^5 n_p^2 \left(1 - \frac{3}{2} \chi^{1/3} + \frac{1}{2} \chi\right), $$

(3)

where $\mu_n = \partial E(n_n, n_p) / \partial n_n$, $\mu_p = \partial E(n_n, n_p) / \partial n_p$ and $\mu_e = \partial E_{elec}(n_e) / \partial n_e$ are the neutron, proton and electron chemical potentials.
CLDM in other shapes

- The bulk nuclear and the electron contributions are shape independent.

- Surface and Coulomb energy densities can be written as
  \[\varepsilon_{\text{surf}} = \frac{\chi d}{R} \left[ (\rho_n - \rho_d) \mu_n s_n + \sigma \right]\]
  \[\varepsilon_{\text{Coul}} = \frac{4\pi}{5} (\rho_p eR)^2 f_d(\chi)\]
  where \(d\) is the dimensionality and
  \[f_d(\chi) = \frac{5}{d+2} \left[ \frac{1}{d-2} (1 - \frac{1}{2} \chi^{1-2/d}) + \frac{1}{2} \chi \right]\]
  \[f_2 = \frac{5}{8} (\ln \frac{1}{\chi} - 1 + \chi)\]

- The virial theorem \((\varepsilon_{\text{surf}} = 2\varepsilon_{\text{Coul}})\) is valid for any phase.
  \[P_i - P_0 = (d - 1) \frac{\sigma}{R} + \frac{4\pi}{5} (\rho_p eR)^2 f_d(\chi)) \left[ \frac{2}{d} + \chi f'_d(\chi) \right] - 1\]

- For holes (tubes and bubbles) one shall put a "-" sign in front of the surface term and replace \(\chi\) by \(1 - \chi\).
CLDM versus TF

![Graphs showing A versus ρ_B for SLy4 and TF models.](image.png)
CLDM Predictions(I)

\[ \rho_t = 0.072 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ L = 45.96 \text{ MeV} \]

\[ \rho_t = 0.065 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ L = 60.00 \text{ MeV} \]
CLDM Predictions(II)

\[ \rho_t = 0.086 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ L = 33.19 \text{ MeV} \]

\[ \rho_t = 0.071 \text{ fm}^{-3} \]
\[ L = 40.01 \text{ MeV} \]
Conclusions (I)

- We have analyzed the shape phase transitions that appear in the inner crust of neutron stars using the Compressible Liquid Drop Model (CLDM) and the Thomas-Fermi (TF) approximation.
- These semiclassical methods allow to study in a rather simple way not only conventional spherical droplets but also another different geometries such as cylinders, plates, tubes (cylindrical holes) and bubbles (spherical holes).
- The study of the different phases in the inner crust is very delicate from the numerical point of view due to the extremely small differences of the energy per baryon computed with the different geometries.
- Calculations performed with the CLDM are in good agreement with the predictions of the TF method.
- A detailed analysis of pasta phases in the inner crust allows to determine the transition density to a single liquid phase (core).
- Below a transition density $\rho_t \simeq 0.075 \text{ fm}^{-3}$ and slope of the symmetry energy $L \simeq 45 \text{ MeV}$ only droplets appear in the inner crust. Above these values of the transition density and the slope of the symmetry energy another pasta phases are also possible in the inner crust.
Reaching the crust-core interface from the core side

• To find the inner edge of the neutron stars crust corresponding to the phase transition from homogeneous matter at high density to inhomogeneous matter at low density requires large scale calculations in the crust as the ones explained before.

• A well established approach is to search for the density at which the uniform liquid density becomes unstable against to small-amplitude density fluctuations, indicating the onset for the formation of nuclear clusters.

Methods for locating the inner edge of neutron star crust

a) Thermodynamical method

\[- \left( \frac{\partial P}{\partial v} \right)_{\mu} - \left( \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial q_c} \right)_{v},\]

where \(v\) and \(q_c\) are the volume and charge per baryon number \(\mu = \mu_n - \mu_p\) and \(P = P_b + P_e\) is the total pressure of the \(npe\) system.

\[\rho^2 \frac{\partial^2 E_b}{\partial \rho^2} + 2\rho \frac{\partial E_b}{\partial \rho} - \left( \frac{\partial^2 E_b}{\partial x_p \partial \rho} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 E_b}{\partial x_p^2} \right)^{-1} > 0\]

b) Dynamical method

\[v(q) = (\mu_{pp} + D_{pp}q^2 + \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2}) - \frac{4\pi e^2}{q^2} - \frac{(\mu_{pn} + D_{pn}q^2)^2}{\mu_{nn} + D_{nn}q^2} > 0,\]

where \(\mu_{xy} = \partial \mu_x / \partial \rho_y\), \(D_{nn} = D_{pp} = \frac{3}{16}[t_1(1 - x_1) - t_2(1 + x_2)]\) and \(D_{np} = D_{pn} = \frac{1}{16}[3t_1(2 + x_1) - t_2(2 + x_2)]\)
Crust-core transition density and pressure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{CLDM}}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{TF}}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{thermal}}$</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{dynam}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLy4</td>
<td>0.072 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.076 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0886 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0794 fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSL0</td>
<td>0.065 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0795 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0694 fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkX</td>
<td>0.086 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.1015 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0942 fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEDF1</td>
<td>0.071 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.0896 fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.0771 fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Force</th>
<th>$P_{\text{thermal}}$</th>
<th>$P_{\text{dynam}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SLy4</td>
<td>0.4623 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.3625 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSL0</td>
<td>0.4412 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.3117 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SkX</td>
<td>0.6318 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.5396 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNEDF1</td>
<td>0.5212 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.3803 MeV fm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Transition density and pressure versus slope of the symmetry energy

\[ \rho_t \text{ (fm}^{-3}) \]

\[ L \text{ (MeV)} \]

\[ P_t \text{ (MeV fm}^{-3}) \]
Global properties of the crust

- To determine the mass-radius relationship in a neutron star requires the knowledge of the EOS in the core but also in the crust.
- There are very few EOS computed in the crust and in the core with the same nuclear interaction.
- An approximated method, which avoids the explicit knowledge of the EOS in the crust, has been recently proposed by J.L. Zdunik, M. Fortin and P. Haensel A & A 599 A119 (2017)
- This method is based in neglecting the mass of the crust in front of the total mass of the star in the TOV equations in the region corresponding to the crust.
- Within this approximation the mass and size of the crust are given by analytical expressions that depend on the crust-core transition density and pressure as well as on the mass and radius of the core, which are obtained numerically by solving the TOV equations in this region of the neutron star.
Mass of the crust

![Graph showing the mass of the crust against stellar mass for different models: MSL0, SKX, UNEDF1, and SLy4. The x-axis represents the stellar mass in units of the solar mass (M_{\odot}), and the y-axis represents the crust mass in units of the solar mass (M_{\odot}).](image-url)
Size of the crust

![Graph showing the size of the crust as a function of mass.](image-url)
Mass-Radius relationship

![Graph showing Mass-Radius relationship for different models (MSL0, SKX, UNEDF1, SLy4).](image)
Conclusions (II)

- We have estimated the crust-core transition density and pressure using the so-called **thermodynamical** and **dynamical** methods.
- The crust-core transition densities estimated with the **dynamical** method agree within a **10%** with the **CLDM** predictions.
- The crust-core transition densities and pressures follow a decreasing trend with increasing values of the slope of the symmetry energy. This decreasing trend is roughly linear, which is more clear for the transition densities than for the transition pressures.
- These two properties of the crust-core transition are the input used in an approximation, recently proposed, which allows to estimate the mass and the size of the crust of the neutron stars without an explicit knowledge of the EOS in this region.
- The mass and size of the crust corresponding to a neutron star of maximum mass are roughly constant with values \( \approx 0.005 \) solar masses and \( \approx 300 \) m, respectively.