Properties of Lambda hypernuclei to constrain the symmetry energy

Wei-Zhou Jiang

Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing, China

Students: Rong-Yao Yang, Si-Na Wei

Outline

- I. Motivation
- II. Λ hypernuclei in RMF
- III. Some numerical results
- IV. Summary





FIG. 1. (Color online) Density dependence of the nuclear symmetry energy $E_{\text{sym}}(\rho)$ for 21 sets of Skyrme interaction parameters. The results from the MDI interaction with x = -1 (open squares) and 0 (solid squares) are also shown.

L.W.Chen, et.al., PRC72, 064309 (05)

Fig. 5. Symmetry energy as a function of density as predicted by different models. The left panel shows the low density region while the right panel displays the high density range.

Fuchs, et.al., arXiv:nucl-th/0511070

Diverse trends from data



3. P. Russotto, W. Trauntmann, Q.F. Li et al., PLB697, 471(2011)

31.6(ρ / ρ **)**^{γ}, with $\gamma = 0.9 + / -0.4$: almost linear

Current constraints on the Slope parameter



From Li, Han, PLB727,276(2013)

Symmetry energies in Relativistic models:



$$E_{sym} = \frac{\partial^2 (\varepsilon/\rho)}{\partial \delta^2} \bigg|_{\delta=0} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g_{\rho}}{m_{\rho}^*} \right)^2 \rho + \frac{1}{6} \frac{k_F^2}{E_F^*}$$

$$m_{\rho} \to m_{\rho}^* \text{ by isoscalar - isovector coupling}$$
$$U(\sigma, \omega^{\mu}, b_0^{\mu})$$

$$= \frac{1}{3}g_{2}\sigma^{3} + \frac{1}{4}g_{3}\sigma^{4} - \frac{1}{4}c_{3}(\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu})^{2} - 4g_{\rho}^{2}(\Lambda_{s}g_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} + \Lambda_{v}g_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu})b_{0\mu}b_{0}^{\mu}$$

The density dependence of the symmetry energy in S271 and NL3

Why to include isoscalar Λ hyperons in nuclear system?

- Change the matter density distribution by adding Λ without changing the isospin.
- Properties of finite and infinite systems with Λ's are sensitive to symmetry energy.



Jiang, Nucl-th/0609024, PLB 642(2006)28 Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL

Production of Hypernuclei

Strangeness exchange: $n(K^-, \pi^-)\Lambda$ CERN, BNL, KEK, DAPHNE $p(K^-,\pi^\pm)\Sigma^\mp$ GSI/FAIR Associated production: $n(\pi^+, K^+)\Lambda$ BNL, KEK H.I. Collision p-p collision $p(e, e'K^+)\Lambda$ Jlab, MAMI Electroproduction: TAR International Hyper-nuclear network PANDA at FAIR SPHERE at JINR · 2012~ · Heavy ion beams Anti-proton beam Single Λ-hypernuclei Double A-hypernuclei y-ray spectroscopy HypHI at GSI/FAIR Heavy ion beams MAMI C Single A-hypernuclei at · 2007~ extreme isospins Electro-production Magnetic moments JLab Single A-hypernuclei A-wavefunction · 2000live long Electro-production FINUDA at DAONE enough to Single A-hypernuclei J-PARC · e+e- collider A-wavefunction · 2009~ have sharp Stopped-K-reaction BNL · Intense K- beam Single Λ-hypernuclei Single and double A-hypernuclei Heavy ion beams
 γ-ray spectroscopy
 γ-ray spectroscopy Anti-hypernuclei (2012~) Single A-hypernuclei Double A-hypernuclei Not an overreaching case! Basic map from Saito, HYP06

Strangeness is conserved in Strong & e.m. interactions.

Hypernuclei energy levels.

IMP of the CAS has a roadmap now.

More than $5 \Lambda\Lambda$ hypernuclei?

Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL

Courtesy of Samanta

An idea from variation

• In parabolic approximation, the energy density function is

 $E(n,\delta) \approx E_0(n) + E_{\rm sym}\delta^2 \cdots$

- The variation of symmetry energy should not affect the isoscalar part, e.g. the Λ binding in hypernuclei.
- However, in an integrated system with the coupling of the isoscalar and isovector, the variation of symmetry energy brings the rearrangement of the whole system and correspondingly the change of the isoscalar part.
- According to the variation principle, the separated isoscalar part should stay at extrema for the variation of the isovector part.

It's difficult to separate them in an integrated system. A nice example is the binding energy of the Λ hyperons.

$$\begin{split} \underline{\mathbf{RMF}} \qquad \mathcal{L} &= \bar{\psi}_B \bigg[i \gamma_\mu \partial^\mu - M_B + g_{\sigma B} \sigma - g_{\omega B} \gamma_\mu \omega^\mu - g_{\rho B} \gamma_\mu \tau_3 b_0^\mu \\ &\quad + \frac{f_{\omega B}}{2M_N} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \partial^\nu \omega_0^\mu - e \frac{1}{2} (1 + \tau_c) \gamma_\mu A^\mu \bigg] \psi_B \\ &\quad - U \big(\sigma, \omega^\mu, b_0^\mu \big) + \frac{1}{2} \big(\partial_\mu \sigma \partial^\mu \sigma - m_\sigma^2 \sigma^2 \big) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_\omega^2 \omega_\mu \omega^\mu - \frac{1}{4} B_{\mu\nu} B^{\mu\nu} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} m_\rho^2 b_{0\mu} b_0^\mu - \frac{1}{4} A_{\mu\nu} A^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_Y \end{split}$$

with

$$U(\sigma, \omega^{\mu}, b_{0}^{\mu}) = \frac{1}{3}g_{2}\sigma^{3} + \frac{1}{4}g_{3}\sigma^{4} - \frac{1}{4}c_{3}(\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu})^{2} - 4g_{\rho}^{2}(\Lambda_{s}g_{\sigma}^{2}\sigma^{2} + \Lambda_{v}g_{\omega}^{2}\omega_{\mu}\omega^{\mu})b_{0\mu}b_{0}^{\mu}.$$

and strange meson included

$$\mathcal{L}_Y = \overline{\psi}_Y [g_{\sigma^* Y} \sigma^* - g_{\phi Y} \gamma_\mu \phi^\mu] \psi_Y + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \sigma^* \partial^\mu \sigma^* - m_{\sigma^*}^2 \sigma^{*2}) - \frac{1}{4} (\partial^\mu \phi^\nu - \partial^\nu \phi^\mu) (\partial_\mu \phi_\nu - \partial_\nu \phi_\mu) + \frac{1}{2} m_{\phi}^2 \phi_\mu \phi^\mu$$

• Equations of Motion In RMF:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{d}{dr} - m_{\phi}^2 \end{pmatrix} \phi(r) = -s_{\phi}(r)$$

$$s_{\phi}(r) = \begin{cases} g_{\sigma}\rho_s + 8g_{\sigma}^2 g_{\rho}^2 \Lambda_s \sigma b_0^2 - g_2 \sigma^2(r) - g_3 \sigma^3(r) & \sigma \\ g_{\omega}\rho_B - c_3 \omega_0^3 - 8g_{\omega}^2 g_{\rho}^2 \Lambda_v \omega b_0^2 & \omega \\ g_{\rho}\rho_3 - 8g_{\rho}^2 b_0 (g_{\omega}^2 \Lambda_v \omega_0^2 + g_{\sigma}^2 \Lambda_s \sigma_0^2) & \rho \end{cases}$$

$$e\rho_c = e \sum_{\alpha}^{A} \frac{2j_{\alpha}+1}{4\pi r^2} \left(G_{\alpha}^2(r) + F_{\alpha}^2(r) \right) (1+t)/2$$
 photon

For Baryons

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dG_{\alpha}}{dr} &= (M_{N}^{*}(r) - V(r) + E_{\alpha})F_{\alpha} - (\frac{\kappa}{r} - U_{2}^{\rho}(r) - U_{3}^{\rho}(r))G_{\alpha} \\ \frac{dF_{\alpha}}{dr} &= (M_{N}^{*}(r) + V(r) - E_{\alpha})G_{\alpha} + (\frac{\kappa}{r} - U_{2}^{\rho}(r) - U_{3}^{\rho}(r))F_{\alpha} \\ M_{N}^{*}(r) &= M_{N} - V^{\sigma}(r) \\ V(r) &= g_{\omega}(r)\omega_{0}(r) + g_{\rho}b_{0}(r)t + e(\frac{1+t}{2})A_{0} - U_{1}^{\rho}(r) \\ V^{\sigma}(r) &= M(s)m(2017, \text{Sept.4-7, GANIL}) \end{aligned}$$

Parameters for Λ

$$g_{\omega\Lambda} = \frac{2}{3} g_{\omega N}, \ g_{\rho\Lambda} = 0.$$

 $g_{\sigma\Lambda}$ is determined by $U_{\Lambda}^{(N)} = -30$ MeV

 $g_{\phi\Lambda} = -\sqrt{2}/3g_{\omega N}$, from SU(6) relation,

 $g_{\sigma^*\Lambda}$ is determined by the values of $\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ of $\frac{10}{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be.

RMF parameter set: NL3

 $U^{(\Xi)}_{\Lambda}$ as a parameter, e.g.-51,-30,-15 MeV

 $g_{\sigma^*\Lambda}/g_{\sigma N} = 0.76, 0.62, 0.52$

The small $\omega \Lambda \Lambda$ tensor coupling is fitted to the vanishing s.o. splitting of $\Lambda \ln \frac{16}{\Lambda O}$

Event	$^{A}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\mathrm{Z}$	Ξ ⁻	$B_{\Lambda\Lambda} - B_{\Xi^-}$	$\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda} - B_{\Xi^-}$ (MeV)	Level	$B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ (MeV)	$\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ (MeV)
NAGARA	⁶ He	$\frac{12}{C}$	$B_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 6.79$	$+0.91B_{\Xi^{-}}(\pm 0.16)$	3D	6.91	$\frac{(MeV)}{0.67}$
	ΛΛ		$\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda} = 0.55$ -	$+ 0.91B_{\Xi^-}(\pm 0.17)$			
MIKAGE	$^{6}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ He	$^{12}\mathrm{C}$	9.88 ± 1.71	3.64 ± 1.71	3D	10.01	3.77
	$^{11}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be	^{14}N	21.95 ± 2.67	3.73 ± 2.71	3D	22.12	3.94
DEMACHI-	$^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be*	$^{12}\mathrm{C}$	11.77 ± 0.13	-1.65 ± 0.15	3D	11.90	<mark>-1.52</mark>
YANAGI					$Ex(^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$	$Be^*) \simeq 2$	$.8 \mathrm{MeV}$
HIDA	$^{11}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be	$^{16}\mathrm{O}$	20.60 ± 1.27	2.38 ± 1.34	3D	20.83	2.61
	$^{12}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be	^{14}N	22.31 ± 1.21	-	3D	22.48	-
E176	$^{13}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{B}$	^{14}N	.—	-	3D	23.3	0.6
						± 0.7	± 0.8
Danysz	$^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Be	_	Reconstructed	by decay daughter	s	14.7	1.3
						± 0.4	<u>± 0.4</u>

Nakazawa,et al.J. Phys. Conf. Series **569** (2014) 012082

Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL

Some numerical results

 $\Delta B_{\Lambda\Lambda}(^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{Be}) = B_{\Lambda\Lambda}(^{10}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{Be}) - 2B_{\Lambda}(^{9}_{\Lambda\Lambda}\text{Be})$



Danysz et al. NPA49,121(1963)

Subtraction of excitation energy of daughter nucleus? Nakazawa,et al., J. Phys. Conf. Series **569** (2014) 012082

Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL

$^{210}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Pb with a flat matter distribution



Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL



Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL





It has similar surface to $^{50}_{\Lambda\Lambda}$ Ca but has a large core density Nusym2017, Sept.4-7, GANIL

Summary

- ✓ Using the RMF parameter set NL3, properties of Λ hypernuclei were studied.
- ✓ Variation of symmetry energy leads to extrema of Λ bindings in hypernuclei.
- $\checkmark \qquad \text{The separation energy of } \Lambda's in heavy nuclei shows double extrema with decreasing the slope of the symmetry energy.}$
- ✓ In lighter systems that are created with a larger core density, the double extrema reduce to the single extremum at the larger slope.
- ✓ It would be an indication of a stiffening of symmetry energy at higher densities.
- ✓ Model independence? Should check the model dependence!

Thank you for your attention!